

## THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

WAGGONER CARR ATTORNEY GENERAL

Austin. Texas 78711

August 11, 1964

Honorable Frank W. Raymond, Jr. Opinion No. C-292 Director Texas Aeronautics Commission Re: 203 West 16th Street Austin, Texas

Whether Article 46c-6, Vernon's Civil Statutes, could be amended to authorize the grant of duly appropriated funds to incorporated cities for the construction of airports and navigational facilities without violating Section 51 of Article III of the Texas Constitution.

Dear Mr. Raymond:

You have requested an opinion on whether Article 46c-6, Vernon's Civil Statutes, could be amended to authorize the grant of duly appropriated funds to incorporated cities for the construction of airports and navigational facilities without violating Section 51 of Article III of the Constitution of Texas.

Said proviso of the Constitution states as follows:

"The Legislature shall have no power to make any grant or authorize the making of any grant of public moneys to any individual, association of individuals, municipal or other corporations whatsoever; . . .

However, the Courts of Texas have interpreted this constitutional restriction as preventing the granting or giving away of public money for other than governmental purposes. The Courts have said that it is permissible to grant state funds to municipal corporations where the money is granted for a purpose that is governmental. See Shelby County v. Allred, 123 Tex. 77, 68 S.W.2d 164 (1934).

Article 46d-15, Vernon's Civil Statutes, states as follows:

"The acquisition of any land or interest therein pursuant to this Act, the planning, acquisition, establishment, development, construction, improvement, maintenance, equipment, operation, regulation, protection and policing of airports and air navigation facilities, including the acquisition or elimination of airport hazards, and the exercise of any other powers herein granted to municipalities and other public agencies, to be severally or jointly exercised, are hereby declared to be public and governmental functions, exercised for a public purpose, and matters of public necessity; and in the case of any county, are declared to be county functions and purposes as well as public and governmental; and in the case of any municipality other than a county, are declared to be municipal functions and purposes as well as public and governmental. All land and other property and privileges acquired and used by or on behalf of any municipality or other public agency in the manner and for the purposes enumerated in this Act shall and are hereby declared to be acquired and used for public and governmental purposes and as a matter of public necessity, and, in the case of a county or municipality, for county or municipal purposes, respectively." (Emphasis added)

The Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court of Texas have stated that the aforesaid Article is valid. In Imperial Production Corp. v. City of Sweetwater, 210 F.2d 917, (5th Cir. 1954), the Fifth Circuit said:

". . . In the light of the then existing law, we can see no effective meaning to be given to Article 46d-15, other than that the designation of the functions therein as being public and governmental carried with it all of the consequences which such a designation entails, including exemption of the municipality from tort liability.

• •

"It is clearly within the province of the legislature, when acting reasonably and not arbitrarily, to determine whether an act that may be performed by a city is public in its nature and performed as the agent of the state in furtherance of general law for the interest of the public at large and, hence, governmental. . . ."

Hon. Frank W. Raymond, Jr., page 3 (C-292)

1

The Supreme Court of Texas, in City of Corsicana v. Wren, 159 Tex. 202, 317 S.W.2d 516, 520 (1958), stated:

"In Imperial Production Corp. v. City of Sweetwater, 5 Cir., 210 F.2d 917, a distinguished court, accustomed to dealing with Texas law questions, held for city immunity in a case substantially identical with the present and in so doing expressly sustained the constitutionality of the relevent provisions of Art. 46d-15, supra, and relied upon it. . . .

"We agree with the line of cases just referred to and find nothing unreasonable in either Art. 46d-15 or the earlier statute, Art. 1269h, Sec. 3. . . . "

Since the Legislature has declared by statute that the operation of airports by municipalities and other governmental subdivisions is a public and governmental function, and such statute has been upheld by the above court decision, in our opinion Article 46c-6, Vernon's Civil Statutes, could be amended to authorize the grant of duly appropriated funds to incorporated cities for the construction of airports and navigational facilities without violating Section 51 of Article III of the Constitution of Texas. However, this opinion answers only the question as to whether said Article could be so amended and does not purport to approve or disapprove the amendment proposed in your letter.

## SUMMARY

Article 46c-6, Vernon's Civil Statutes, can be amended to authorize the grant of duly appropriated funds to incorporated cities for the construction of airports and navigational facilities without violating Section 51 of Article III of the Constitution of Texas.

Very truly yours,

WAGGONER CARR Attorney General

By: Roy B. Johnson
Assistant

RBJ:mkh

Hon. Frank W. Raymond, Jr., page 4 (C- 292)

APPROVED: OPINION COMMITTEE

W. O. Shultz, Chairman Paul Phy Kerns Taylor Ivan Williams George Gray

APPROVED FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL BY: Stanton Stone